**Ripple Effect Mapping of the Tourism Assessment Program**

Tourism Assessment Program

Minnesota’s Tourism Assessment Program (TAP) analyzes a community’s tourism potential. The program includes identification of tourism assets by the community, a site assessment by visiting team of experts, and written recommendations developed by the University of Minnesota Tourism Center and Extension’s Center for Community Vitality. Five Tourism Assessment Programs have been conducted in Minnesota communities since 2007. This research identified the changes the program has helped to stimulate.

**Ripple Effect Mapping**

Using Ripple Effect Mapping (REM), we engaged program participants and other community stakeholders within three of the communities in discussion groups to identify intended and unintended outcomes and impacts of Tourism Assessment Programs that were conducted in each community 5-6 years ago. Mapping the changes provided stakeholders a powerful visual of the progress they have made toward their tourism development goals. Several long-term impacts have been documented in three main areas: (1) increased community cooperation through relationship building, (2) enhanced marketing efforts resulting from a better understanding of their visitor markets and, (3) expanded awareness of area attractions. Coding results of this study within the Community Capitals Framework (Emery & Flora, 2006) can show each community how their involvement in the Tourism Assessment Program and subsequent development efforts could be targeted to have greater impact in supporting the long term sustainability and vitality of their communities. Utilizing REM to evaluate the program has also helped inform future Tourism Assessment Program design.

**Participating Community Groups**

New York Mills: 14 participants in REM 2013 discussion group, 20 in original 2007 Tourism Assessment Program.

Chisago Lakes: 18 community participants in 2013 REM discussion group, 55 in original 2008 Tourism Assessment Program.

Fertile: 22 community participants in REM 2013 discussion Group, 18 in original 2008 Tourism Assessment Program.

For the most part the proportion of influence for each of the capitals was similar across the three communities. The differences really reflected the core aspects of the community’s tourism focus.

**New York Mills**

- Social: 24%
- Financial: 19%
- Cultural: 20%
- Political: 14%
- Human: 7%
- Health: 6%

**Chisago Lakes**

- Social: 25%
- Financial: 23%
- Cultural: 10%
- Political: 9%
- Human: 17%
- Health: 6%

**Fertile**

- Social: 20%
- Financial: 8%
- Cultural: 8%
- Political: 13%
- Human: 5%
- Health: 4%

For more information on:


Authors: Bhattacharyya R., Templin E., Messer C., Chazdon S., and Hermanson, L.

Community Partners: Chisago Lakes Area Chamber of Commerce, City of Fertile, and the New York Mills Regional Cultural Center

University of Minnesota Extension is an equal opportunity educator and employer.